Optimal Control Problem: Statement and existence of solutions. Lebesgue measure and integral

(Lecture 1)

Yuri Sachkov

yusachkov@gmail.com

«Elements of geometric control theory»

Lecture course in Dept. of Mathematics and Mechanics

Lomonosov Moscow State University

19 February 2025

# Plan of course

- 1. Statement of the optimal control problem
- 2. Measurable sets and functions, Carathéodory differential equations
- 3. Sufficient Filippov conditions for the existence of an optimal control
- 4. Differential equations on smooth manifolds
- 5. Elements of chronological calculus of R.V.Gamkrelidze—A.A.Agrachev
- 6. Differential forms
- 7. Elements of symplectic geometry
- 8. Proof of the Pontryagin maximum principle on manifolds: geometric form, optimal control problems with different boundary conditions.
- 9. Examples of optimal syntheses.

## Plan of lecture

- 1. Optimal Control Problem Statement
- 2. Lebesgue measurable sets and functions
- 3. Lebesgue integral
- 4. Carathéodory ODEs
- 5. Reduction of Optimal Control Problem to Study of Attainable Sets
- 6. Filippov's theorem: Compactness of Attainable Sets
- 7. Time-Optimal Problem

## Optimal Control Problem Statement

Control system:

$$\dot{q} = f_u(q), \qquad q \in M, \quad u \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (1)

- *M* a smooth manifold
- U an arbitrary subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$
- right-hand side of (1):

 $q\mapsto f_u(q)$  is a smooth vector field on M for any fixed  $u\in U,$  (2)

$$(q,u)\mapsto f_u(q)$$
 is a continuous mapping for  $q\in M,\;u\in\overline{U},$  (3)

and moreover, in any local coordinates on M

$$(q, u) \mapsto \frac{\partial f_u}{\partial q}(q)$$
 is a continuous mapping for  $q \in M, \ u \in \overline{U}$ . (4)

• Admissible controls are measurable locally bounded mappings

 $u : t \mapsto u(t) \in U,$ 

i.e., 
$$u \in L_{\infty}([0, t_1], U)$$
.

• Substitute such a control u = u(t) for control parameter into system (1)

• 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 nonautonomous ODE  $\dot{q} = f_u(q)$ 

• By Carathéodory's Theorem, for any point  $q_0 \in M$ , the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{q} = f_u(q), \qquad q(0) = q_0,$$
 (5)

has a unique solution  $q_u(t)$ .

• In order to compare admissible controls one with another on a segment [0, t<sub>1</sub>], introduce a *cost functional*:

$$J(u) = \int_0^{t_1} \varphi(q_u(t), u(t)) dt$$
(6)

with an integrand

$$\varphi : M \times U \to \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying the same regularity assumptions as the right-hand side f, see (2)-(4).

- Take any pair of points  $q_0, q_1 \in M$ .
- Consider the following *optimal control problem*:

#### Problem 1

Minimize the functional J among all admissible controls u = u(t),  $t \in [0, t_1]$ , for which the corresponding solution  $q_u(t)$  of Cauchy problem (5) satisfies the boundary condition

$$q_u(t_1) = q_1. \tag{7}$$

• This problem can also be written as follows:

$$\dot{q} = f_u(q), \qquad q \in M, \quad u \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m,$$
 (8)

$$q(0) = q_0, \qquad q(t_1) = q_1,$$
 (9)

$$J(u) = \int_0^{t_1} \varphi(q(t), u(t)) \, dt \to \min \,. \tag{10}$$

- Two types of problems: with fixed terminal time  $t_1$  and free  $t_1$ .
- A solution u of this problem is called an *optimal control*, and the corresponding curve  $q_u(t)$  is an *optimal trajectory*.

## Example: Euler elasticae

Given:

- uniform elastic rod of length / in the plane
- the rod has fixed endpoints and tangents at endpoints

Find:

• the profile of the rod.

## Example: Euler elasticae



$$\dot{x} = \cos heta, \qquad q = (x, y, heta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1,$$
  
 $\dot{y} = \sin heta, \qquad u \in \mathbb{R},$   
 $\dot{ heta} = u,$   
 $q(0) = q_0, \qquad q(t_1) = q_1,$   
 $t_1 = I$  is the length of the rod,  
 $J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_1} u^2 dt \rightarrow \min.$ 

# Definition of Lebesgue measure in I = [0, 1]: H. Lebesgue, 1902<sup>1</sup>

• Measure of intervals:

$$m(\emptyset) := 0,$$
  $m(|a, b|) := b - a,$   $b \ge a,$   $| = [ \text{ or } ].$ 

- Measure of elementary sets:  $m'(\sqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}|a_i,b_i|):=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}m(|a_i,b_i|)$
- Outer measure:  $\mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(P_i) \mid A \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i, \ P_i \text{ intervals} \right\}.$
- Lebesgue measure:
  - $A \subset I$  is called *measurable* if

 $\forall \ \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \ \mathsf{elementary \ set} \ B \subset I: \ \mu^*(A \triangle B) < \varepsilon, \qquad A \triangle B := (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A).$ 

• A measurable  $\Rightarrow$  Lebesgue measure  $\mu(A) := \mu^*(A)$ .

<sup>1</sup>A.N. Kolmogorov, S.V. Fomin, "Elements of theory of functions and functional analysis"

### Properties of Lebesgue measure

- 1. System of measurable sets is closed w.r.t.  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\setminus$ ,  $\triangle$
- 2.  $\sigma$ -additivity:  $A_i$  measurable  $\Rightarrow \mu(\sqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mu(A_i)$ .
- 3. Continuity:  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots$  measurable  $\Rightarrow \mu(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mu(A_i).$
- 4. Open, closed sets are measurable.
- 5. There exist non-measurable sets (G. Vitali, 1905)
- 6.  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is measurable if  $\forall A \cap I_n$  is measurable,  $I_n = (n, n+1]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

7. 
$$\mu(A) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu(A \cap I_n) \in [0, +\infty].$$

- 8.  $\mu(A) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \text{ intervals: } \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i \supset A, \ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(P_i) < \varepsilon.$
- A property P holds almost everywhere (a.e.) on a set X if ∃ A ⊂ X, μ(A) = 0, s.t. P holds on X \ A.
- 10.  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is *measurable* if  $f^{-1}(O)$  is measurable for any open  $O \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ .

#### Banach-Tarski Paradox

Theorem 2 Let  $B, B' \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be balls of different radii. Then there exist decompositions

$$B = X_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X_n, \qquad B' = X'_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup X'_n$$

such that

$$\exists f_i \in \mathsf{SE}(3) : f_i(X_i) = X'_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

- Sets X<sub>i</sub>, X'<sub>i</sub> are not measurable.
- $n \geq 5$ .
- B, B' can be replaced by any bounded subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with nonempty interior.
- Similar theorem for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  fails. Reason: SE(2) is solvable, while SE(3) is not:  $[\mathfrak{se}(3), \mathfrak{se}(3)] = \mathfrak{so}(3), [\mathfrak{so}(3), \mathfrak{so}(3)] = \mathfrak{so}(3) \neq \{0\}.$

## Lebesgue integral: Definition

- Let  $\mu(X) < +\infty$ . A function  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  is simple if it is measurable and takes not more than countable number of values.
- Th.: A function f(x) taking not more than countable number of values  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ , ... is measurable iff al sets  $f^{-1}(y_n)$  are measurable.
- Th.: A function f(x) is measurable iff it is a uniform limit of simple measurable functions.
- Let f be a simple measurable function taking values y<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>, .... Let A ⊂ X be measurable. Then

$$\int_A f(x)d\mu := \sum_n y_n \mu(f^{-1}(y_n)).$$

A function f is called integrable on A if this series absolutely converges.

A measurable function f is called *integrable* on A ⊂ X if there exist a sequence of simple integrable on A functions {f<sub>n</sub>} that converges uniformly to f. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} f(x) d\mu := \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{A}} f_n(x) d\mu.$$

12/35

#### Lebesgue integral: Properties

1.  $\int_{A} 1 d\mu = \mu(A)$ . 2. Linearity:  $\int_{\Lambda} (af(x) + bg(x)) d\mu = a \int_{\Lambda} f(x) d\mu + b \int_{\Lambda} g(x) d\mu$ . 3. f(x) bounded on  $A \Rightarrow f(x)$  integrable on A. 4. Monotonicity:  $f(x) \leq g(x) \Rightarrow \int_A f(x) d\mu \leq \int_A g(x) d\mu$ . 5.  $\mu(A) = 0 \implies \int_A f(x) d\mu = 0.$ 6. f(x) = g(x) a.e.  $\Rightarrow \int_{A} f(x) d\mu = \int_{A} g(x) d\mu$ . 7. g(x) integrable on A and |f(x)| < g(x) a.e.  $\Rightarrow f(x)$  integrable on A. 8. Functions f and |f| are integrable or non-integrable simultaneously. 9.  $\sigma$ -additivity: if  $A = \bigsqcup_n A_n$  then  $\int_A f(x) d\mu = \sum_n \int_A f(x) d\mu$ . 10. Absolute continuity: f in integrable on  $A \Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t.}$  $\left|\int_{E} f(x) d\mu\right| < \varepsilon$  for any measurable  $E \subset A$ ,  $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$ . 11.  $\mu(X) = \infty, X = \bigcup_n X_n, X_n \subset X_{n+1}, \mu(X_n) < \infty \Rightarrow$  $\int_{X} f(x) d\mu := \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} f(x) d\mu.$ 

## Spaces of integrable functions

- $f : X 
  ightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable.
  - 1.  $L_p(X,\mu) = \{f \mid ||f||_p < \infty\}, ||f||_p = (\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu)^{1/p}, p \in [1,+\infty).$
  - 2.  $L_{\infty}(X,\mu) = \{f \mid ||f||_{\infty} < \infty\}, ||f||_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$
  - 3.  $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad L_{p_1} \supseteq L_{p_2}.$
  - 4.  $L_{p}, \ p \in [1, +\infty]$ , are Banach spaces ( = complete normed spaces).
  - 5.  $L_2$  is a Hilbert space ( = complete Euclidean infinite-dimensional space),  $(f,g) = \int_X f(x)g(x)d\mu$ .

## Carathéodory ODEs: C. Carathéodory, 1873–1950<sup>2</sup>

- Carathéodory conditions: let for a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+n}_{t,x}$ 
  - 1. f(t, x) is defined and continuous in x for almost all t
  - 2. f(t,x) is measurable in t for any x
  - 3.  $|f(t,x)| \le m(t)$ , where m(t) is Lebesgue integrable on any segment
- Carathéodory ODE:  $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$ , where  $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies conditions 1–3.
- Solution to Carathéodory ODE:  $x : |a, b| \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s)) ds$ ,  $t_0 \in |a, b|$ .
- Existence: Solutions exist on sufficiently small segments  $[t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon], \ \varepsilon > 0.$
- Uniqueness: If  $|f(t,x) f(t,y)| \le l(t)|x y|$ , l(t) Lebesgue integrable, then a solution is unique.
- Extension: Any solution in compact D can be extended in both sides up to  $\partial D$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A.F. Filippov, "Differential equations with discontinuous right-hand side"

#### **Optimal Control Problem Statement**

$$\dot{q} = f_u(q), \qquad q \in M, \quad u \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m,$$
 (11)

$$q(0)=q_0, \qquad (12)$$

$$q(t_1) = q_1, \tag{13}$$

$$J(u) = \int_0^{t_1} \varphi(q, u) dt \to \min.$$
 (14)

 $q = q_u(\cdot)$  — solution to Cauchy problem (11), (12) corresponding to an admissible control  $u(\cdot)$ .

#### Attainable sets

- Fix an initial point  $q_0 \in M$ .
- Attainable set of control system (11) for time  $t \ge 0$  from  $q_0$  with measurable locally bounded controls is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{q_0}(t) = \{q_u(t) \mid u \in L_{\infty}([0, t], U)\}.$$

• Similarly, one can consider the attainable sets for time not greater than t:

$$\mathcal{A}_{q_0}^t = igcup_{0 \leq au \leq t} \mathcal{A}_{q_0}( au)$$

and for arbitrary nonnegative time:

$$\mathcal{A}_{q_0} = igcup_{0 \leq au < \infty} \mathcal{A}_{q_0}( au).$$

### Extended system

• Optimal control problems on *M* can be reduced to the study of attainable sets of some auxiliary control systems on the extended state space

$$\widehat{M} = \mathbb{R} imes M = \{ \widehat{q} = (y,q) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}, \ q \in M \}.$$

• Consider the following extended control system on  $\widehat{M}$ :

$$\frac{d\,\widehat{q}}{d\,t} = \widehat{f}_u(\widehat{q}), \qquad \widehat{q} \in \widehat{M}, \ u \in U, \tag{15}$$

with the right-hand side

$$\widehat{f}_u(\widehat{q}) = \left( egin{array}{c} arphi(q,u) \ f_u(q) \end{array} 
ight), \qquad q \in M, \quad u \in U,$$

where  $\varphi$  is the integrand of the cost functional J, see (14).

• Denote by  $\hat{q}_u(t)$  the solution of the extended system (15) with the initial conditions

$$\widehat{q}_u(0) = \left( egin{array}{c} y(0) \\ q(0) \end{array} 
ight) = \left( egin{array}{c} 0 \\ q_0 \end{array} 
ight)$$

#### Reduction to Study of Attainable Sets

Theorem 3

Let  $q_{\widetilde{u}}(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, t_1]$ , be an optimal trajectory in the problem (11)–(14) with the fixed terminal time  $t_1$ . Then  $\widehat{q}_{\widetilde{u}}(t_1) \in \partial \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t_1)$ .



Figure:  $q_{\widetilde{u}}(t)$  optimal

Proof.

• Solutions  $\widehat{q}_u(t)$  of the extended system are expressed through solutions  $q_u(t)$  of the original system (11) as

$$\widehat{q}_u(t) = \left( egin{array}{c} J_t(u) \ q_u(t) \end{array} 
ight), \qquad J_t(u) = \int_0^t \varphi(q_u(\tau), u(\tau)) \, d\tau.$$

• Thus attainable sets of the extended system (15) have the form

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t) = \{ (J_t(u), q_u(t)) \mid u \in L_\infty([0,t], U) \} \,.$$

- The set  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t_1)$  should not intersect the ray  $\left\{(y,q_1)\in \widehat{M} \mid y < J_{t_1}(\widetilde{u})
  ight\}$ .
- Indeed, suppose that there exists a point  $(y,q_1)\in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t_1), \quad y < J_{t_1}(\widetilde{u}).$
- Then the trajectory of the extended system  $\widehat{q}_u(t)$  that steers  $(0, q_0)$  to  $(y, q_1)$ :

$$\widehat{q}_u(0)=\left(egin{array}{c} 0 \ q_0 \end{array}
ight),\qquad \widehat{q}_u(t_1)=\left(egin{array}{c} y \ q_1 \end{array}
ight),$$

gives a trajectory  $q_u(t)$ ,  $q_u(0) = q_0$ ,  $q_u(t_1) = q_1$ , with  $J_{t_1}(u) = y < J_{t_1}(\widetilde{u})$ , a contradiction to optimality of  $\widetilde{u}$ .

# Existence of optimal trajectories for problems with fixed $t_1$

#### Theorem 4

Let  $q_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{q_0}(t_1)$ . If  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t_1)$  is compact, then there exists an optimal trajectory in the problem (11)–(14) with the fixed terminal time  $t_1$ .

### Proof.

- The intersection  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t_1)\cap\{(y,q_1)\in\widehat{M}\}$  is nonempty and compact.
- Denote  $\widetilde{J} = \min\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid (y,q_1) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t_1)\}.$
- $(\widetilde{J},q_1)\in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}(t_1).$
- There exists an admissible control  $\tilde{u}$  such that  $q_{\tilde{u}}$  steers  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  for time  $t_1$  with the cost  $\tilde{J}$ .
- The trajectory  $q_{\widetilde{u}}$  is optimal.

# Existence of optimal trajectories for problems with free $t_1$

#### Theorem 5

Let  $q_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{q_0}$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{(0,q_0)}^t$ , t > 0, be compact. Let there extist  $\overline{u} \in L_{\infty}[0,\overline{t}_1]$  that steers  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  such that for any  $u \in L_{\infty}[0, t_1]$  that steers  $q_0$  to  $q_1$ :

$$t_1 > \overline{t}_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad J(u) > J(\overline{u}).$$

Then there exists an optimal trajectory in the problem (11)-(14) with the free  $t_1$ . Proof.

• Denote 
$$I^t = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid (y,q_1) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^t_{(0,q_0)} \right\}$$
,  $J^t = \min I^t$ .

- Since  $q_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{q_0}(t_1)$  for some  $t_1 > 0$ , then  $I^{t_1} 
  eq \emptyset$ .
- Let  $T = \max(t_1, \overline{t}_1)$ . We have  $I^T \neq \emptyset$ . Denote  $\widetilde{J} = J^T$ .
- There exists  $\widetilde{u} \in L_{\infty}[0, \widetilde{t}_1]$  that steers  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  with the cost  $\widetilde{J} = J(\widetilde{u})$ .
- The control  $\widetilde{u}$  is optimal in the problem with the free  $t_1$ .

## Compactness of attainable sets

## Theorem 6 (Filippov)

Let the space of control parameters  $U \Subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be compact. Let there exist a compact  $K \Subset M$  such that  $f_u(q) = 0$  for  $q \notin K$ ,  $u \in U$ . Moreover, let the velocity sets

$$f_U(q) = \{f_u(q) \mid u \in U\} \subset T_q M, \qquad q \in M,$$

be convex. Then the attainable sets  $A_{q_0}(t)$  and  $A_{q_0}^t$  are compact for all  $q_0 \in M$ , t > 0. Remark 1

The condition of convexity of the velocity sets  $f_U(q)$  is natural: the flow of the ODE

$$\dot{q} = lpha(t) f_{u_1}(q) + (1 - lpha(t)) f_{u_2}(q), \qquad 0 \le lpha(t) \le 1,$$

can be approximated by flows of the systems of the form

$$\dot{q}=f_{v}(q), \hspace{0.3cm}$$
 where  $\hspace{0.3cm} v(t)\in\{u_{1}(t),\,u_{2}(t)\}.$ 

## Sketch of the proof of Filippov's Theorem: 1/5

- All nonautonomous vector fields  $f_u(q)$  with admissible controls u have a common compact support, thus are complete.
- Under hypotheses of the theorem, velocities  $f_u(q)$ ,  $q \in M$ ,  $u \in U$ , are uniformly bounded, thus all trajectories q(t) of control system (11) starting at  $q_0$  are Lipschitzian with the same Lipschitz constant.
- Embed the manifold M into a Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then the space of continuous curves q(t) becomes endowed with the uniform topology of continuous mappings from  $[0, t_1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- The set of trajectories q(t) of control system (11) starting at  $q_0$  is uniformly bounded:

$$\|q(t)\| \leq C$$

and equicontinous:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \,\, \exists \delta > 0 \,\, \forall q(\cdot) \,\, \forall |t_1 - t_2| < \delta \quad \|q(t_1) - q(t_2)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Sketch of the proof of Filippov's Theorem: 2/5

Theorem 7 (Arzelà-Ascoli)

Consider a family of mappins  $\mathcal{F} \subset C([0, t_1], M)$ , where M is a complete metric space. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, then it is precompact:

 $\forall \{q_n\} \subset \mathcal{F} \exists$  a converging subsequence  $q_{n_k} \rightarrow q \in C([0, t_1], M)$ .

- Thus the set of admissible trajectories is precompact in the topology of uniform convergence.
- For any sequence  $q_n(t)$  of admissible trajectories:

$$\dot{q}_n(t) = f_{u_n}(q_n(t)), \qquad 0 \le t \le t_1, \quad q_n(0) = q_0,$$

there exists a uniformly converging subsequence, we denote it again by  $q_n(t)$ :

$$q_n(\cdot) o q(\cdot)$$
 in  $C([0,t_1],M)$  as  $n o \infty$ .

• Now we show that q(t) is an admissible trajectory of control system (11).

## Sketch of the proof of Filippov's Theorem: 3/5

- Fix a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- Then in local coordinates

$$rac{1}{arepsilon}(q_n(t+arepsilon)-q_n(t))=rac{1}{arepsilon}\int_t^{t+arepsilon}f_{u_n}(q_n( au))\,d au\ \in {
m conv}igcup_{ au\in[t,t+arepsilon]}f_U(q_n( au))\subset {
m conv}igcup_{q\in O_{q(t)}(carepsilon)}f_U(q),$$

where c is the doubled Lipschitz constant of admissible trajectories.

• We pass to the limit  $n o \infty$  and obtain

$$rac{1}{arepsilon}(q(t+arepsilon)-q(t))\in {
m conv}igcup_{q\in O_{q(t)}(carepsilon)}f_U(q).$$

• Now let arepsilon o 0. If t is a point of differentiability of q(t), then

$$\dot{q}(t)\in f_U(q)$$

since  $f_U(q)$  is convex.

## Sketch of the proof of Filippov's Theorem: 4/5

- In order to show that q(t) is an admissible trajectory of control system (11), we should find a measurable selection  $u(t) \in U$  that generates q(t).
- We do this via the lexicographic order on the set  $U = \{(u_1, \ldots, u_m)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ .
- The set

$$V_t = \{v \in U \mid \dot{q}(t) = f_v(q(t))\}$$

is a compact subset of U, thus of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

• There exists a vector  $v^{\min}(t) \in V_t$  minimal in the sense of lexicographic order. To find  $v^{\min}(t)$ , we minimize the first coordinate on  $V_t$ :

$$v_1^{\min} = \min\{ v_1 \mid v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \in V_t \},$$

then minimize the second coordinate on the compact set found at the first step:

$$v_2^{\min} = \min\{ v_2 \mid v = (v_1^{\min}, v_2, \dots, v_m) \in V_t \}, \quad \dots, \\ v_m^{\min} = \min\{ v_m \mid v = (v_1^{\min}, \dots, v_{m-1}^{\min}, v_m) \in V_t \}.$$

## Sketch of the proof of Filippov's Theorem: 5/5

- The control  $v^{\min}(t) = (v_1^{\min}(t), \dots, v_m^{\min}(t))$  is measurable, thus q(t) is an admissible trajectory of system (11) generated by this control.
- The proof of compactness of the attainable set  $\mathcal{A}_{q_0}(t)$  is complete.
- Compactness of  $\mathcal{A}_{q_0}^t$  is proved similarly.

### Discussion on completeness

- In Filippov's theorem, the hypothesis of common compact support of the vector fields in the right-hand side is essential to ensure the uniform boundedness of velocities and completeness of vector fields.
- On a manifold, sufficient conditions for completeness of a vector field cannot be given in terms of boundedness of the vector field and its derivatives: a constant vector field is not complete on a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Nevertheless, one can prove compactness of attainable sets for many systems without the assumption of common compact support. If for such a system we have a priori bounds on solutions, then we can multiply its right-hand side by a cut-off function, and obtain a system with vector fields having compact support.
- We can apply Filippov's theorem to the new system. Since trajectories of the initial and new systems coincide in a domain of interest for us, we obtain a conclusion on compactness of attainable sets for the initial system.

## A priori bound in $\mathbb{R}^n$

- For control systems on M = R<sup>n</sup>, there exist well-known sufficient conditions for completeness of vector fields.
- If the right-hand side grows at infinity not faster than a linear field, i.e.,

$$|f_u(x)| \leq C(1+|x|), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in U, \tag{16}$$

for some constant C, then the nonautonomous vector fields  $f_u(x)$  are complete (here  $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$  is the norm of a point  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ).

• These conditions provide an a priori bound for solutions: any solution x(t) of the control system

$$\dot{x} = f_u(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in U,$$
(17)

with the right-hand side satisfying (16) admits the bound

$$|x(t)| \le e^{2Ct} (|x(0)| + 1), \qquad t \ge 0.$$

## Compactness of attainable sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$

• Filippov's theorem plus the previous remark imply the following sufficient condition for compactness of attainable sets for systems in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Corollary 8

Let system (17) have a compact space of control parameters  $U \Subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and convex velocity sets  $f_U(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose moreover that the right-hand side of the system satisfies a sublinear bound of the form (16).

Then the attainable sets  $\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{A}^t_{x_0}$  are compact for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , t > 0.

## Time-optimal problem

• Given a pair of points  $q_0 \in M$  and  $q_1 \in A_{q_0}$ , the *time-optimal problem* consists in minimizing the time of motion from  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  via admissible controls of control system (11):

$$\min_{u} \{ t_1 \mid q_u(t_1) = q_1 \}.$$
(18)

- That is, we consider the optimal control problem with the integrand  $\varphi(q, u) \equiv 1$  and free terminal time  $t_1$ .
- Reduction of optimal control problems to the study of attainable sets and Filippov's Theorem yield the following existence result.

#### Corollary 9

Under the hypotheses of Filippov's Theorem 6, time-optimal problem (11), (18) has a solution for any points  $q_0 \in M$ ,  $q_1 \in A_{q_0}$ .

# Example of a time-optimal problem: Stopping a train

Given:

- material point of mass m>0 with coordinate  $x\in\mathbb{R}$
- force F bounded by the absolute value by  $F_{\max}>0$
- initial position  $x_0$  and initial velocity  $\dot{x}_0$  of the material point

Find:

• force F that steers the point to the origin with zero velocity, for a minimal time.

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \qquad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \dot{x}_2 &= u, \qquad |u| \le 1, \\ (x_1, x_2)(0) &= (x_0, \dot{x}_0), \qquad (x_1, x_2)(t_1) = (0, 0), \\ t_1 &\to \min. \end{split}$$

## Example: Stopping a train

- Trajectories of the system with a constant control  $u \neq 0$  are the parabolas  $\frac{x_2^2}{2} = ux_1 + C:$
- Now it is visually obvious that  $(0,0)\in \mathcal{A}_{(x_1,x_2)}$  for any  $(x_1,x_2)\in \mathbb{R}^2.$
- The set of control parameters U = [-1, 1] is compact, the set of admissible velocity vectors f(x, U) = {(x<sub>2</sub>, u) | u ∈ [-1, 1]} is convex for any x ∈ ℝ<sup>2</sup>, and the right-hand side of the control system has sublinear growth: |f(x, u)| ≤ C(|x| + 1).
- All hypotheses of the Filippov theorem are satisfied, thus optimal control exists.

## Plan of lecture

- 1. Optimal Control Problem Statement
- 2. Lebesgue measurable sets and functions
- 3. Lebesgue integral
- 4. Carathéodory ODEs
- 5. Reduction of Optimal Control Problem to Study of Attainable Sets
- 6. Filippov's theorem: Compactness of Attainable Sets
- 7. Time-Optimal Problem