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## Reminder: Plan of previous lecture

1. Lie derivative of differential forms
2. Liouville form and symplectic form
3. Hamiltonian vector fields

## Plan of this lecture

1. Linear on fibers Hamiltonians
2. Geometric statement of PMP and discussion

## Linear on fibers Hamiltonians

- We introduce a construction that works only on $T^{*} M$. Given a vector field $X \in \operatorname{Vec} M$, we define a Hamiltonian function

$$
X^{*} \in C^{\infty}\left(T^{*} M\right)
$$

which is linear on fibers $T_{q}^{*} M$, as follows:

$$
X^{*}(\lambda)=\langle\lambda, X(q)\rangle, \quad \lambda \in T^{*} M, \quad q=\pi(\lambda)
$$

- In canonical coordinates $(\xi, x)$ on $T^{*} M$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad X^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} a_{i}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This coordinate representation implies that

$$
\left\{X^{*}, Y^{*}\right\}=[X, Y]^{*}, \quad X, Y \in \operatorname{Vec} M
$$

i.e., Poisson brackets of Hamiltonians linear on fibers in $T^{*} M$ contain usual Lie brackets of vector fields on $M$.

- The Hamiltonian vector field $\overrightarrow{X^{*}} \in \operatorname{Vec}\left(T^{*} M\right)$ corresponding to the Hamiltonian function $X^{*}$ is called the Hamiltonian lift of the vector field $X \in \operatorname{Vec} M$.
- It is easy to see from the coordinate representation (1) that

$$
\pi_{*} \overrightarrow{X^{*}}=X
$$

- Now we pass to nonautonomous vector fields. Let $X_{t}$ be a nonautonomous vector field and

$$
P_{\tau, t}=\overrightarrow{\exp } \int_{\tau}^{t} X_{\theta} d \theta
$$

the corresponding flow on $M$.

- The flow $P=P_{\tau, t}$ acts on $M$ :

$$
P: M \rightarrow M, \quad P: q_{0} \mapsto q_{1}
$$

its differential pushes tangent vectors forward:

$$
P_{*}: T_{q_{0}} M \rightarrow T_{q_{1}} M
$$

and the dual mapping $P^{*}$ pulls covectors back:

$$
P^{*}: T_{q_{1}}^{*} M \rightarrow T_{q_{0}}^{*} M
$$

- Thus we have a flow on covectors (i.e., on points of the cotangent bundle):

$$
P_{\tau, t}^{*}: \quad T^{*} M \rightarrow T^{*} M
$$

- Let $V_{t}$ be the nonautonomous vector field on $T^{*} M$ that generates the flow $P_{\tau, t}^{*}$ :

$$
V_{t}=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} P_{t, t+\varepsilon}^{*} .
$$

- Then

$$
\frac{d}{d t} P_{\tau, t}^{*}=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} P_{\tau, t+\varepsilon}^{*}=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} P_{t, t+\varepsilon}^{*} \circ P_{\tau, t}^{*}=V_{t} \circ P_{\tau, t}^{*}
$$

so the flow $P_{\tau, t}^{*}$ is a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$
\frac{d}{d t} P_{\tau, t}^{*}=V_{t} \circ P_{\tau, t}^{*}, \quad P_{\tau, \tau}^{*}=\mathrm{Id}
$$

i.e., it is the left chronological exponential:

$$
P_{\tau, t}^{*}=\overleftarrow{\exp } \int_{\tau}^{t} V_{\theta} d \theta
$$

- It turns out that the nonautonomous field $V_{t}$ is simply related with the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to the Hamiltonian $X_{t}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{t}=-\overrightarrow{X_{t}^{*}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Indeed, the flow $P_{\tau, t}^{*}$ preserves the tautological form $s$, thus

$$
L_{V_{t}} s=0
$$

- By Cartan's formula,

$$
i_{V_{t}} \sigma=-d\left\langle s, V_{t}\right\rangle,
$$

i.e., the field $V_{t}$ is Hamiltonian:

$$
V_{t}=\left\langle s, \vec{V}_{t}\right\rangle
$$

- But $\pi_{*} V_{t}=-X_{t}$, consequently,

$$
\left\langle s, V_{t}\right\rangle=-X_{t}^{*},
$$

and equality (2) follows.

- Taking into account the relation between the left and right chronological exponentials, we obtain

$$
P_{\tau, t}^{*}=\overleftarrow{\exp } \int_{\tau}^{t}-\overrightarrow{X_{\theta}^{*}} d \theta=\overrightarrow{\exp } \int_{t}^{\tau} \overrightarrow{X_{\theta}^{*}} d \theta
$$

- We proved the following statement.


## Proposition 1

Let $X_{t}$ be a complete nonautonomous vector field on $M$. Then

$$
\left(\overrightarrow{\exp } \int_{\tau}^{t} X_{\theta} d \theta\right)^{*}=\overrightarrow{\exp } \int_{t}^{\tau} \overrightarrow{X_{\theta}^{*}} d \theta
$$

- In particular, for autonomous vector fields $X \in \operatorname{Vec} M$,

$$
\left(e^{t X}\right)^{*}=e^{-t \overrightarrow{X^{*}}}
$$

## Pontryagin Maximum Principle

Geometric statement of PMP and discussion

- Consider an optimal control problem for a control system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}=f_{u}(q), \quad q \in M, \quad u \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(0)=q_{0} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Define the following family of Hamiltonians:

$$
h_{u}(\lambda)=\left\langle\lambda, f_{u}(q)\right\rangle, \quad \lambda \in T_{q}^{*} M, q \in M, u \in U
$$

- In terms of the previous slides,

$$
h_{u}(\lambda)=f_{u}^{*}(\lambda) .
$$

- Fix an arbitrary instant $t_{1}>0$.
- In Lecture 2 we reduced the optimal control problem to the study of boundary of attainable sets.
- Now we give a necessary optimality condition in this geometric setting.

Theorem 1 (PMP)
Let $\widetilde{u}(t), t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, be an admissible control and $\widetilde{q}(t)=q_{\widetilde{u}}(t)$ the corresponding solution of Cauchy problem (3), (4). If $\widetilde{q}\left(t_{1}\right) \in \partial \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$, then there exists a Lipschitzian curve in the cotangent bundle

$$
\lambda_{t} \in T_{\widetilde{q}(t)}^{*} M, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_{1}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{t} \neq 0,  \tag{5}\\
& \dot{\lambda}_{t}=\vec{h}_{\widetilde{U}(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right),  \tag{6}\\
& h_{\widetilde{u}(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=\max _{u \in U} h_{u}\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for almost all $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$.

- If $u(t)$ is an admissible control and $\lambda_{t}$ a Lipschitzian curve in $T^{*} M$ such that conditions (5)-(7) hold, then the pair $\left(u(t), \lambda_{t}\right)$ is said to satisfy PMP
- In this case the curve $\lambda_{t}$ is called an extremal, and its projection $\widetilde{q}(t)=\pi\left(\lambda_{t}\right)$ is called an extremal trajectory.


## Remark 1

If a pair $\left(\widetilde{u}(t), \lambda_{t}\right)$ satisfies PMP, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\widetilde{u}(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=\text { const }, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since the admissible control $\widetilde{u}(t)$ is bounded, we can take maximum in (7) over the compact $\overline{\left\{\widetilde{u}(t) \mid t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]\right\}}=\widetilde{U}$.
Further, the function $\varphi(\lambda)=\max _{u \in \widetilde{U}} h_{u}(\lambda)$ is Lipschitzian w.r.t. $\lambda \in T^{*} M$. We show that this function has zero derivative.

For optimal control $\widetilde{u}(t)$,

$$
\varphi\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \geq h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right), \quad \varphi\left(\lambda_{\tau}\right)=h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}\left(\lambda_{\tau}\right)
$$

thus

$$
\frac{\varphi\left(\lambda_{t}\right)-\varphi\left(\lambda_{\tau}\right)}{t-\tau} \geq \frac{h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)-h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}\left(\lambda_{\tau}\right)}{t-\tau}, \quad t>\tau
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=\tau} \varphi\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \geq\left\{h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}, h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}\right\}=0
$$

if $\tau$ is a differentiability point of $\varphi\left(\lambda_{t}\right)$. Similarly,

$$
\frac{\varphi\left(\lambda_{t}\right)-\varphi\left(\lambda_{\tau}\right)}{t-\tau} \leq \frac{h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)-h_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)}\left(\lambda_{\tau}\right)}{t-\tau}, \quad t<\tau
$$

thus $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=\tau} \varphi\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \leq 0$. So

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \varphi\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=0
$$

and identity (8) follows.

- The Hamiltonian system of PMP

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\lambda}_{t}=\vec{h}_{u(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an extension of the initial control system (3) to the cotangent bundle.

- Indeed, in canonical coordinates $\lambda=(\xi, x) \in T^{*} M$, the Hamiltonian system yields

$$
\dot{x}=\frac{\partial h_{u(t)}}{\partial \xi}=f_{u(t)}(x)
$$

- That is, solutions $\lambda_{t}$ to (9) are Hamiltonian lifts of solutions $q(t)$ to (3):

$$
\pi\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=q_{u}(t)
$$

- Before proving Pontryagin Maximum Principle, we discuss its statement.
- First we give a heuristic explanation of the way the covector curve $\lambda_{t}$ appears naturally in the study of trajectories coming to boundary of the attainable set.
- Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=\widetilde{q}\left(t_{1}\right) \in \partial \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The idea is to take a normal covector to the attainable set $\mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$ near $q_{1}$, more precisely - a normal covector to a kind of a convex tangent cone to $\mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$ at $q_{1}$.
- By virtue of inclusion (10), this convex cone is proper.
- Thus it has a hyperplane of support, i.e., a linear hyperplane in $T_{q_{1}} M$ bounding a half-space that contains the cone.
- Further, the hyperplane of support is a kernel of a normal covector $\lambda_{t_{1}} \in T_{q_{1}}^{*} M$, $\lambda_{t_{1}} \neq 0$, see fig. below:


Figure: Hyperplane of support and normal covector to attainable set $\mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$ at the point $q_{1}$

- The covector $\lambda_{t_{1}}$ is an analog of Lagrange multipliers.
- In order to construct the whole curve $\lambda_{t}, t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, consider the flow generated by the control $\widetilde{u}(\cdot)$ :

$$
P_{t, t_{1}}=\overrightarrow{\exp } \int_{t}^{t_{1}} f_{\widetilde{u}(\tau)} d \tau, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

- It is easy to see that

$$
P_{t, t_{1}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}(t)\right) \subset \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

- Indeed, if a point $q \in \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}(t)$ is reachable from $q_{0}$ by a control $u(\tau), \tau \in[0, t]$, then the point $P_{t, t_{1}}(q)$ is reachable from $q_{0}$ by the control

$$
v(\tau)= \begin{cases}u(\tau), & \tau \in[0, t] \\ \widetilde{u}(\tau), & \tau \in\left[t, t_{1}\right]\end{cases}
$$

- Further, the diffeomorphism $P_{t, t_{1}}: M \rightarrow M$ satisfies the condition

$$
P_{t, t_{1}}(\widetilde{q}(t))=\widetilde{q}\left(t_{1}\right)=q_{1}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

- Thus if $\widetilde{q}(t) \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}(t)$, then $q_{1} \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$.
- By contradiction, inclusion (10) implies that

$$
\widetilde{q}(t) \in \partial \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}(t), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

- The tangent cone to $\mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}(t)$ at the point $\widetilde{q}(t)=P_{t_{1}, t}\left(q_{1}\right)$ has the normal covector $\lambda_{t}=P_{t, t_{1}}^{*}\left(\lambda_{t_{1}}\right)$.
- By Proposition 1 , the curve $\lambda_{t}, t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, is a trajectory of the Hamiltonian vector field $\vec{h}_{\widetilde{u}(t)}$, i.e., of the Hamiltonian system of PMP.
- One can easily get the maximality condition of PMP as well.
- The tangent cone to $\mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$ at $q_{1}$ should contain the infinitesimal attainable set from the point $q_{1}$ :

$$
f_{U}\left(q_{1}\right)-f_{\widetilde{U}\left(t_{1}\right)}\left(q_{1}\right),
$$

i.e., the set of vectors obtained by variations of the control $\widetilde{u}$ near $t_{1}$.

- Thus the covector $\lambda_{t_{1}}$ should determine a hyperplane of support to this set:

$$
\left\langle\lambda_{t_{1}}, f_{u}-f_{\widetilde{u}\left(t_{1}\right)}\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad u \in U
$$

- In other words,

$$
h_{u}\left(\lambda_{t_{1}}\right)=\left\langle\lambda_{t_{1}}, f_{u}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle\lambda_{t_{1}}, f_{\widetilde{u}\left(t_{1}\right)}\right\rangle=h_{\widetilde{u}\left(t_{1}\right)}\left(\lambda_{t_{1}}\right), \quad u \in U .
$$

- Translating the covector $\lambda_{t_{1}}$ by the flow $P_{t, t_{1}}^{*}$, we arrive at the maximality condition of PMP:

$$
h_{u}\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \leq h_{\widetilde{u}(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right), \quad u \in U, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

- The following statement shows the power of PMP.


## Proposition 2

Assume that the maximized Hamiltonian of PMP

$$
H(\lambda)=\max _{u \in U} h_{u}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in T^{*} M
$$

is defined and $C^{2}$-smooth on $T^{*} M \backslash\{\lambda=0\}$.
If a pair $\left(\widetilde{u}(t), \lambda_{t}\right), t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, satisfies PMP, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\lambda}_{t}=\vec{H}\left(\lambda_{t}\right), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if a Lipschitzian curve $\lambda_{t} \neq 0$ is a solution to the Hamiltonian system (11), then one can choose an admissible control $\widetilde{u}(t), t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, such that the pair $\left(\widetilde{u}(t), \lambda_{t}\right)$ satisfies PMP.

- That is, in the favorable case when the maximized Hamiltonian $H$ is $C^{2}$-smooth, PMP reduces the problem to the study of solutions to just one Hamiltonian system (11).
- From the point of view of dimension, this reduction is the best one we can expect.
- Indeed, for a full-dimensional attainable set $\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)=n\right)$ we have $\operatorname{dim} \partial \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)=n-1$, i.e., we need an $(n-1)$-parameter family of curves to describe the boundary $\partial \mathcal{A}_{q_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$.
- On the other hand, the family of solutions to Hamiltonian system (11) with the initial condition $\pi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=q_{0}$ is $n$-dimensional.
- Taking into account that the Hamiltonian $H$ is homogeneous:

$$
H(c \lambda)=c H(\lambda), \quad c>0
$$

thus

$$
e^{t \vec{H}}\left(c \lambda_{0}\right)=c e^{t \vec{H}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right), \quad \pi \circ e^{t \vec{H}}\left(c \lambda_{0}\right)=\pi \circ e^{t \vec{H}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)
$$

we obtain the required ( $n-1$ )-dimensional family of curves.

- Now we prove Proposition 2.


## Proof.

- We show that if an admissible control $\widetilde{u}(t)$ satisfies the maximality condition (7), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{h}_{\widetilde{u}(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=\vec{H}\left(\lambda_{t}\right), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- By definition of the maximized Hamiltonian $H$,

$$
H(\lambda)-h_{\widetilde{u}(t)}(\lambda) \geq 0 \quad \lambda \in T^{*} M, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

- On the other hand, by the maximality condition of PMP (7), along the extremal $\lambda_{t}$ this inequality turns into equality:

$$
H\left(\lambda_{t}\right)-h_{\widetilde{u}(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=0, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

- That is why

$$
d_{\lambda_{t}} H=d_{\lambda_{t}} h_{\widetilde{u}(t)}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

- But a Hamiltonian vector field is obtained from differential of the Hamiltonian by a standard linear transformation, thus equality (12) follows.
- Conversely, let $\lambda_{t} \neq 0$ be a trajectory of the Hamiltonian system $\dot{\lambda}_{t}=\vec{H}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)$.
- In the same way as in the proof of Filippov's theorem, one can choose an admissible control $\widetilde{u}(t)$ that realizes maximum along $\lambda_{t}$ :

$$
H\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=h_{\widetilde{u}(t)}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)=\max _{u \in U} h_{u}\left(\lambda_{t}\right)
$$

- As we have shown above, then there holds equality (12). So the pair $\left(\widetilde{u}(t), \lambda_{t}\right)$ satisfies PMP.

